IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORIGINAL SIDE.

INCOME TAX REFERENCE NO. 309 OF 1998..

The Commissioner of Income-tax,
City-IV, Bombay. .. Applicant,
vs.
M/s Tipci, the Industrial
Plastics Corporation. .. Respondent.

Shri A.S. Rao for the Applicant.
None for the respondent.

CORAM: V.C.DAGA &
J.P.DEVADHAR,JJ.
DATED: 22-3-2005.

P.C.

1. Heard learned Counsel for the applicant. The Tribunal has referred the question for the opinion of this Court reading as under:

> " Whether on the facts and in the circumstances of the case, the Tribunal was right in law in holding that the provisions of section 40(c) instead of secetiion 40A(5) of Income-tax should be applied in the case of employee directors.?"

2. The above question has already been answered by the Division Bench of this Court in the case of C.I.T. vs. Hico Products Pvt.Ltd. (No.1) (1993) 201 I.T.R. 567 (Bom.) in favour of the assessee and against the revenue. In this view of the matter, question stands answered in affirmative for the reasons stated in the case of Hico Products (P) Ltd. Reference, accordingly stands disposed of with no order as to costs.